

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,289	09/16/2003	Katherine Woo	2043.140US1	4544	
49845 SCHWEGMA	7590 04/20/201 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			LASTRA, DANIEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3688		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM request@slwip.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,289	WOO, KATHERINE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL LASTRA	3688	

	DANIEL LASTRA	3688	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Exemaions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 oFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IN Operation of regive is periodical active, the manatum statutory period we have a subject of the provision of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.4-7.9-21.42 and 43 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.4-7.9-21.42 and 43 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objected to by the l lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/664,289 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1, 4-7, 9-21, 42 and 43 have been examined. Application 10/664,289
 (METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR OFFERING A MONEY-BACK GUARANTEE IN A NETWORK-BASED MARKETPLACE) has a filing date 09/16/2003.

Response to Amendment

 In response to Non Final Rejection filed 11/12/10, the Applicant filed an Amendment on 02/14/11, which amended claims 1, 42-43.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7, 9-21, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cales (US 2003/0135421).

Claims 1, 42 and 43, Cales teaches:

A method of providing a money-back guarantee for a transaction in a networkbased marketplace, the method including: Application/Control Number: 10/664,289

Art Unit: 3688

determining that a seller is eligible to offer a buyer the money-back guarantee the determining done at least in part through use of one or more processors and

Subsequent to the determining that the seller is eligible to offer the buyer the money back guarantee contacting the seller to provide the seller an option to initiate an offer of the money-back guarantee the buyer (see paragraphs 11, 14, 37 "A BPS fee table is presented to a prospective client (i.e. seller and buyer) at account registration or other appropriate time. The monetary fee may be established as a flat fee or a percentage of the product purchase price")

providing a guarantee interface for the buyer to activate the money-back guarantee associated with the eligible transaction (see paragraph 30)

receiving, via a network interface device, a reimbursement request against the money-back guarantee for the eligible transaction (see paragraph 38-39);

responsive to receiving the reimbursement request, verifying the reimbursement request is eligible for the money-back guarantee (see paragraph 38-39); and

electronically reimbursing the buyer a predetermined amount associated with the eligible transaction and the money-back guarantee (see paragraph 39).

Claim 4, Cales teaches:

providing a seller interface for the seller to choose whether the money-back guarantee is offered on the eligible transaction (see paragraph 30).

Claim 5, Cales teaches:

verifying that the eligible transaction is eligible for the money-back guarantee (see paragraph 38-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,289 Page 4

Art Unit: 3688

Claim 7, Cales teaches:

wherein the verifying includes determining that a transaction occurred within a

predetermined timeframe (see paragraph 39).

Claim 9, Cales teaches:

collecting a fee from the buyer for activating the money-back guarantee, wherein

the fee is in addition to the associated transaction cost (see paragraph 37).

Claim 10, Cales teaches:

calculating the fee base upon a predetermined percentage of the transaction

amount plus a flat fee (see paragraph 37).

Claim 11, Cales teaches:

receiving payment from the buyer for the money-back guarantee and the

associated transaction cost and responsive to receiving the payment, generating a

transaction identification number (see paragraph 37).

Claim 12, Cales teaches:

providing a reimbursement request interface for the buyer to submit the

reimbursement request against the money- back guarantee associated with the eligible

transaction (see paragraph 37-39).

Claim 13, Cales teaches:

wherein the reimbursement request includes a transaction number, date of

transaction, reason for the request, and type of item associated with the request (see

paragraphs 32-39).

Claim 14, Cales teaches:

Art Unit: 3688

wherein the verifying of the reimbursement request includes verifying the eligibility of the transaction and seller for the money-back guarantee and verifying the buyer paid for the money-back guarantee (see paragraph 38-39).

Claim 15, Cales teaches:

reimbursing the buyer if an item associated with the eligible transaction is alleged to be defective (see paragraph 11).

Claim 16, Cales teaches:

reimbursing the buyer if an item associated with the eligible transaction is not received by the buyer (see paragraph 11).

Claim 17, Cales teaches:

reimbursing the buyer if an item associated with the eligible transaction is unwanted (see paragraph 11).

Claim 18, Cales teaches:

wherein the eligible transaction is an online transaction using the Internet (see paragraph 24).

Claim 19, Cales teaches:

wherein the online transaction is associated with an online auction (see figure 1b item 107).

Claim 20, Cales teaches:

wherein the online transaction is a fixed price transaction (see paragraph 37).

Claim 21, Cales teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/664,289 Page 6

Art Unit: 3688

wherein the network-based marketplace supports transactions between a plurality of sellers and a plurality of buyers (see paragraph 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Cales</u> (US 2003/0135421) in view of <u>Junger</u> (US 2004/0172260).

Claim 6, Junger teaches:

wherein the verifying includes determining that a transaction amount associated with the eligible transaction does not exceed a predetermined threshold (see paragraph 183). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that that <u>Cales</u> would modify his invention to include a limit in a transaction amount that would be eligible for a return, as taught by <u>Junger</u> in order to put a cap in the amount of money the <u>Cales</u>' protection service would need to return to a buyer or to a seller.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 02/14/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Cales does not teach that the BPS contact the seller to provide the seller an option to initiate an offer of the money back guarantee to the buyer". The Examiner answer that <u>Cales</u> teaches in paragraph 37 that a "A BPS fee table is presented to a prospective client (i.e. seller and buyer) at account registration or other appropriate time. The monetary fee may be established as a flat fee or a percentage of the product purchase price". Therefore, contrary to Applicant argument, <u>Cales</u> teaches "contacting the seller to provide the seller an option to initiate an offer of the money back guarantee to the buyer", as <u>Cales</u> BPS offers the seller an option to accept the BPS fee that would be offered to buyers in order that said buyers purchase money back guarantee protection. Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, the prior art teaches Applicant's claimed invention.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,289

Art Unit: 3688

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN WEISS can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The official Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DANIEL LASTRA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 April 15, 2011